# Introduction to supervised learning with a focus on SVMs

#### Dr. Christina Leslie

Computational Biology Program Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center

# Outline

- General ideas about supervised learning
  - (Not specific to biological domain)
  - Training, generalization, overfitting
  - 1 theoretical slide
- Cancer classification, gene signatures
  - Nevins paper ("Oncogenic pathways...") as a concrete example
- SVMs in enough detail for the lab

# Outline

- General ideas about supervised learning
  - (Not specific to biological domain)
  - Training, generalization, overfitting
  - 1 theoretical slide
- Cancer classification, gene signatures
  - Preview: Nevins paper ("Oncogenic pathways...") as a concrete example
- SVMs in some detail

# What is machine learning?

- "Statistics with more than 20 variables"
- "Intersection of computer science and statistics"
- Provisional definition: [R. Schapire]
  - Machine learning studies how to *automatically learn* to make *predictions* based on past observations

# **Classification problems**

- Classification:
  - Learn to classify examples into a given set of categories ("classes")
  - Example of supervised learning ("labeled" training examples, i.e. known class labels)



# ML vs. "Traditional Statistics"

- L. Breiman: "The two cultures", Statistical Science, 2001
- "Data modeling culture" (Generative models)
  - Assume probabilistic model of known form, not too many parameters (<50)</li>
  - Fit model to data
  - Interpret model and parameters, make predictions after

# ML vs "Traditional Statistics"

- "Algorithmic modeling culture" (Predictive models)
  - Learn a prediction function from inputs to outputs, possibly many parameters (e.g. 10<sup>2</sup> 10<sup>6</sup>)
  - Design algorithm to find good prediction function
  - Primary goal: accurate predictions on new data,
    i.e. avoid overfitting, good generalization
  - Interpret after, finding "truth" is not central goal (but some "truth" in accurate prediction rule?)
- "Never solve a more difficult problem than you need to" [V. Vapnik]

#### **Example: Generative model**



#### **Example: Prediction function**



#### Poorly behaved training data



[Figure: Y. Freund]

# **Conditions for accurate learning**

• Example: predict "good" vs. "bad" [R. Schapire]

	sex	mask	cape	tie	ears	smokes	class
	<u>training data</u>						
batman	male	yes	yes	no	yes	no	Good
robin	male	yes	yes	no	no	no	Good
alfred	male	no	no	yes	no	no	Good
penguin	male	no	no	yes	no	yes	Bad
catwoman	female	yes	no	no	yes	no	Bad
joker	male	no	no	no	no	no	Bad
	<u>test data</u>						
batgirl	female	yes	yes	no	yes	no	??
riddler	male	yes	no	no	no	no	??

#### An example classifier



#### Another possible classifier

- Perfectly classifies training data, makes mistakes on test set
- Intuitively too complex



#### Yet another classifier

- Fails to fit from training data
- Overly simple
  sex female
  good bad



- ...but if too complex, can overfit (learn noise or spurious patterns)
- Problem: Can't tell best classifier from training error
- *Controlling overfitting* is central problem of ML

# **Conditions for accurate learning**

- To learn an accurate classifier, need
  - Enough training examples
  - Good performance on training set
  - Control over "complexity" (Occam's razor)
- Measure complexity by:
  - Minimum description length (number of bits needed to encode rule)
  - Number of parameters
  - VC dimension

# Some theory

• Can prove: [Vapnik-Chervonenkis]

(generalization error) 
$$\leq$$
 (training error) +  $\tilde{O}(\sqrt{\left(\frac{d}{m}\right)})$ 

with high probability, where:

- d = VC dimension, depends on class of prediction functions considered
- -m = # training examples

## **Cancer classification**

- Training data: expression data from different tumor types; few examples, high dimensional feature space
- Goals:
  - (Accurately predict tumor type)
  - Learn gene signature = smaller set of whose expression pattern discriminates between classes
- "Feature selection" problem

# **Oncogenic pathways**

- [Nevins lab, Nature 2006]
- Training data:
  - Human cell cultures where specific oncogenic pathway has been activated vs. control cells (Myc, Ras, E2F3, etc)
- Prediction scores ↔ probability/confidence that pathway is activated in sample
- Test data:
  - Mouse models for pathways
  - Human cancer cell lines

#### Pathway signatures



#### Prediction in mouse models

 Rank tumors from mouse models using trained pathway vs control classifiers



#### Prediction scores as features

 Oncogenic pathway prediction scores used to represent tumors for clustering



#### Support vector machines

 SVMs are a family of algorithms for learning a linear classification rule from labeled training data

 $\{(\mathbf{x}_1, y_1), \dots, (\mathbf{x}_m, y_m)\}, y_i = 1 \text{ or } -1$ 

- Well-motivated by learning theory
- Various properties of the SVM solution help avoid overfitting, even in very high dimensional feature spaces

# Vector space preliminaries

• Inner product of two vectors:

 $\langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x} \rangle = \Sigma_{g} w_{g} x_{g}$ 

 Hyperplane with normal vector w and bias b:



# Linear classification rules

• SVMs consider only linear classifiers:

 $f_{\mathbf{w},b}(\mathbf{x}) = \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x} \rangle + b$ 

• Leads to linear prediction rules:

 $h_{\mathbf{w},b}(\mathbf{x}) = sign(f_{\mathbf{w},b}(\mathbf{x}))$ 

- Decision boundary is a hyperplane
- Prediction score f<sub>w,b</sub>(x) interpreted as "confidence" in prediction



<**w**,**x**> + b < 0 -

## Support vector machines

- Assume linearly separable training data
- Margin of example = distance to separating hyperplane



- Margin of training set = min margin of examples
- Choose (unique) hyperplane that maximizes the margin
- Prediction score for test example f(x) ~ signed distance of x to hyperplane

#### Geometric margin

- Consider training data S and a particular linear classifier f<sub>w,b</sub>
- If ||w|| = 1, then the geometric margin of training data for f<sub>w.b</sub> is

$$\gamma_{\rm S} = {\rm Min}_{\rm S} y_{\rm i} (\langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}_{\rm i} \rangle + b)$$



#### Maximal margin classifier

- Hard margin SVM: given training data S, find linear classifier  $f_{w,b}$ with maximal geometric margin  $\gamma_S$
- Solve optimization problem to find w and b that give maximal margin solution



# Hard margin SVMs

- Equivalently, enforce a *functional margin* ≥ 1 for every training vector, and minimize ||w||
- Primal problem: Minimize

<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> <**w**,**w**>

subject to

 $y_i (\langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}_i \rangle + b) \ge 1$ for all training vectors  $\mathbf{x}_i$ 



#### Non-separable case

- If training data is not linearly separable, can:
  - Penalize each example by the amount it violates the margin ("soft margin SVM")
  - Map examples to a higher dimensional space where data is separable
  - Combination of above 2 solutions

# Soft margin SVMs

- Introduce slack variable ξ<sub>i</sub> to represent margin violation for training vector x<sub>i</sub>
- Now constraint becomes:

y<sub>i</sub>(<**w**,**x**<sub>i</sub>>+b) ≥ 1- ξ<sub>i</sub>



# Soft margin SVMs

 Primal optimization problem becomes: Minimize

 $\frac{1}{2} < w, w > + C \Sigma_i \xi_i$  ("1-norm")  $\leftarrow LIBSVM$ or

 $\frac{1}{2} < w, w > + C \sum_{i} \xi_{i}^{2} ("2-norm")$ subject to  $y_{i}(<w, x_{i}>+b) \ge 1- \xi_{i}, \xi_{i} \ge 0$ 

• C: "trade-off" parameter

# VC dim viewpoint

- Let γ = margin, R = radius enclosing training examples (hard margin case)
- Can show VC dimension  $\leq \left(\frac{R}{\gamma}\right)^2$ therefore:

- Independent of # dimensions!
- By contrast, for unconstrained hyperplanes in n-dimensional vector space:

VC dimension = n + 1

# **Regularization viewpoint**

• Trade-off optimization problem (1-norm soft margin): minimize

$$||\mathbf{w}||^2 + C \Sigma_i (1 - y_i f_{\mathbf{w},b}(\mathbf{x}_i))_+$$

- (1 y f(x))<sub>+</sub>: "hinge loss", penalty for margin violation
- ||w||<sup>2</sup>: "regularization term"; intuitively, prevents overfitting by constraining w

## **Properties of SVM solution**

- Introduce dual variable ("weight")  $\alpha_i$  for each constraint, i.e. for each training example
- Solve dual optimization problem to find  $\alpha_{\rm i}$ 
  - Convex quadratic problem → unique solution, good algorithms
- $\mathbf{w} = \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} \mathbf{y}_{i} \mathbf{x}_{i}$ 
  - Normal vector is linear combination of support vectors, i.e. training vectors with  $\alpha_i > 0$

#### Support vectors

- If  $\mathbf{x}_i$  has margin > 1,  $\alpha_i = 0$
- 1-norm SVM: two kinds of support vectors
- If x<sub>i</sub> has margin = 1, 0 < α<sub>i</sub> < C</li>
- If  $\mathbf{x}_i$  has margin < 1,  $\alpha_i = C$



#### Feature selection

- How to extract a "cancer signature"?
- Simplest feature selection: filter on training data
  - E.g. Apply t-test or Fisher's criterion to find genes that discriminate between classes
  - Train SVM on reduced feature set
- Usually better to use results of training to select features

# **Ranking features**

- Normal vector  $\mathbf{w} = \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} y_{i} \mathbf{x}_{i}$  gives direction in which prediction scores change
- Rank features by |w<sub>g</sub>| to get most significant components
- Recursive feature elimination (RFE): iteratively
  - Throw out bottom half of genes ranked by  $|w_a|$
  - Retrain SVM on remaining genes

Induces ranking on all genes

#### Kernel trick

- Idea: map to higher dimensional feature space
- Only need kernel values: K(x<sub>1</sub>,x<sub>2</sub>) = Φ(x<sub>1</sub>) Φ(x<sub>2</sub>) to solve dual optimization problem



#### **Examples of kernels**

- Large margin non-linear decision boundaries
- Not needed with expression data





#### Issues explored in lab

- How well-defined is a cancer signature?
  - How stable is feature selection on small data set?
  - Empirical validation gene set, number of genes?
- Which analyses are purely training data results, which show prediction performance?

# Discussion issues for paper

- How well-defined is a cancer signature?
  - How stable is feature selection on small data set?
  - Empirical validation of gene set, number of genes?
- Which analyses are purely training data results, which show prediction performance?